From: Schofield, Susan
To: Zeno, Denise

Cc: <u>Delano, Frances; Eng. Helen; Hauptman, Mel</u>

Subject: RE: Cabo Rojo PSA investigations

Date: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 3:21:29 PM

Buenas tardes Denise.

I went through the PRIDCO response to the 104E request that you emailed to me on Monday. Based on PRIDCO's listing of spills, USTs, and other environmental issues that they are aware of, I think our proposed sampling combined with the sampling (soil gas) already conducted by EPA, that all these locations noted by PRIDCO will have been covered for soil gas (first step in our investigations). We moved one boring at PRIDCO West (NE building) to cover the location of an UST — this will not result in additional locations needed for this facility. Since we just moved this one boring, I have not re-scanned the figure.

Please let me know if you need more information before we talk. I have meetings scheduled for tomorrow morning but am available tomorrow afternoon or Friday.

Thanks

Susan

From: Zeno, Denise [mailto:Zeno.Denise@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 10:58 AM

To: Schofield, Susan

Cc: Delano, Frances; Eng, Helen; Hauptman, Mel **Subject:** RE: Cabo Rojo PSA investigations

Hi, Susan!

I just read your letter of March 18, 2013, where you provided an update on budget status and sampling information.

I recently sent you a copy of PRIDCO's 104E response letter which has historical information that may alter the sampling strategy. Please take a look at PRIDCO's 104E response and let me know if it will alter the budget and sampling but before you send us a new budget we need to agree on the sampling strategy. Send me new sampling location map and let's have a conf. call to discuss sampling. Once we agree on samples then you can send us a new budget.

Thanks.

From: Schofield, Susan [mailto:SchofieldSE@cdmsmith.com]

Sent: Friday, March 08, 2013 12:27 PM

To: Zeno, Denise **Cc:** Delano, Frances

Subject: Cabo Rojo PSA investigations

Buenas tardes Denise,

Frances has put together the attached maps for the first two phases of the PSA investigations. She used all currently available written information and observations made during several visits to the site to specifically look at the PSAs. Your input on the proposed sampling locations would be very welcome since you know the site so well.

Summary:

PSA Soil Vapor Screening samp figures – These areas are consistent with the Work Plan Table 3-4, with one exception. In Table 3-4, we assumed PSA # 10 would be eliminated in the "drive by" stage. However, there is enough visual concern with the W.R. Recycling PSA, that we have

included up to 10 soil vapor screening locations. I will document this addition in a separate letter to you and also to Helen Eng since we cannot perform work that is not authorized.

PSA_Soil&GW Samp figures – These figures are consistent with the Work Plan Table 3-5. We are assuming that PSAs 7 through 10 in Table 3-4 do not need this next step of sampling. However, we won't really know this until we complete the soil vapor sampling stage. Several of the PSA figures in this file do not include all 10 boring locations specified in Table 3-5 because we will use the initial vapor sample results to select some of these next step locations. These are designated with "+2" or "+4" on the figures where this applies.

Frances has also marked potential surface water/sediment sampling locations near some of the PSA. Decisions on whether to collect these types of samples will be made after we get results back from the PSA work. All surface water/sediment sample locations will be approved by you before we collect any of these types of samples, so these are just to document field observations of potential sw/sed samples at this point.

Please don't hesitate to call or email if you have any questions. Enjoy the weekend. Susan

Susan E. Schofield, P.G.
CDM Smith
1225 Ponce de Leon Avenue
VIG Tower, Suite 603
San Juan, PR 00907
schofieldse@CDMSmith.com
787-722-5428
203-645-2549 (mobile)